1		The Honorable James L. Robart	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTR	RICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
8	DWIGHT HOLLAND)	
9	Plaintiff,)) No. C12-0791-JLR	
10	vs.) KING COUNTY DEFENDANTS'	
11	KING COUNTY ADULT DETENION, KING	OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'SMOTION TO ENLARGE TIME TO	
12	COUNTY et al., KING COUNTY DISTRICT COURT EAST DIVISION – REDMOND) RESPOND TO KING COUNTY) DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR	
13	COURTHOUSE, et al., WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING et al.,) SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND) REQUEST TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S	
14	WASHINGTON STATE PATROL et al., OFFICER WSP ANTHONY BROCK in his) MOTION)	
15	individual and official capacity as Washington State Patrol Officer, LAKEYSHA NICOLE	Noted for August 23, 2013	
16	WASHINGTON in her individual and official capacity as Prosecuting Attorney, KING COUNTY))	
17	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, et al., GRAY WESTSIDE TOWING LLC,))	
)	
18	Defendant(s).))	
19	INTRODUCTION King County Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment was noted for August 23, 2013, therefore, Plaintiff's responsive brief was due to be filed on August 19, 2013. <i>See</i> LCR 7(d)(3). No responsive brief has been timely forthcoming from Plaintiff. Instead, Plaintiff filed		
20			
21			
22			
23			
	a "Motion To Enlarge Of Time To Respond To King County Defendants' Motion for Summary		
	KING COUNTY'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME (C12-0791-JLR) - 1	Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-8820 Fax (206) 296-8819	

Judgment" citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). King County Defendants oppose an extension of time for Plaintiff to respond to their Summary Judgment motion.

\mathbf{A}

KING COUNTY'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME (C12-0791-JLR) - 2

ARGUMENT

First, Plaintiff's motion should be stricken in its entirety as it is untimely. Under LCR 7(d)(2), a motion for relief from a deadline cannot be noted for consideration earlier than the second Friday after service of the motion. Plaintiff filed his motion on Monday August 19, 2013 and noted it for the same Friday (August 23, 2013). As such the motion has not been properly noted and must be stricken.

Further, Plaintiff has provided no evidence to properly support any assertions of fact, rebut any assertions of fact as contained in King County Defendant's motion, or provide any declaration as to what he will be able to present if given additional time pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(d). Additionally, Plaintiff noted in prior pleadings with this court that King County Defendants have complied with his discovery requests (see ECF Docket 35-2, 37). Although Plaintiff claimed that King County only "partly complied," Plaintiff has not filed a motion to compel against King County Defendants although he filed a motion to compel against State Defendants pursuant to the same set of discovery. While Plaintiff claims that he needs more time to respond to King County Defendants' motion due to having to answer discovery from State Defendants, the responsibility is on plaintiff to maintain an action that he initiated. As the only facts asserted by King County Defendants in their motion for summary judgment are undisputed, plaintiff is not entitled to additional time to respond to legal arguments based on the very claims he himself brought against Defendants.

As plaintiff's justification for an extension of time does not mount to good cause under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b), his motion should be denied.

Case 2:12-cv-00791-JLR Document 52 Filed 08/22/13 Page 3 of 4

1	DATED this 22 st day of August, 2013 at Seattle, Washington.
2	DANIEL T. SATTERBERG
3	King County Prosecuting Attorney
4	By: <u>s/ Samantha D. Kanner</u> SAMANTHA D. KANNER, WSBA #36943
5	Deputy Prosecuting Attorney
6	Attorney for King County Defendants 500 4 th Ave, 9 th Floor
7	Seattle, WA 98104 206-296-8820
8	Email: Samantha.Kanner@kingcounty.gov
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

KING COUNTY'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME (C12-0791-JLR) - 3

Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-8820 Fax (206) 296-8819

1 2 **DECLARATION OF FILING AND SERVICE** 3 I hereby certify that on August 22, 2013, I electronically filed the forgoing document 4 with the clerk of the court via the CM/ECF e-filing portal. I further certify that the above listed 5 documents were sent to the following parties via CM/ECF e-service: 6 Dwight Holland Dmanh3@comcast.net 7 Tobin Dale 8 TobinD@atg.wa.gov 9 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of 10 Washington that the foregoing is true and correct. 11 DATED this 22st day of August, 2013 at Seattle, Washington. 12 13 s/Teresa Potter TERESA POTTER, Paralegal 14 Litigation Section 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

KING COUNTY'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME (C12-0791-JLR) - 4

Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-8820 Fax (206) 296-8819